UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/520,688	06/27/2005	Alan Roddis	00952/0202318-US0	9784	
7278 DARBY & DA	7590 02/21/200 ARRY P.C	7	EXAMINER		
P. O. BOX 5257			PATEL, VISHAL A		
NEW YORK, NY 10150-5257			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	•		3673		
SHORTENED STATUTOR	RY PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE		
3 MC	NTHS	02/21/2007	PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/520,688	RODDIS, ALAN	,			
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Vishal Patel	3673				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication a or Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with t	he correspondence add	dress			
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. o period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perior re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stately reply received by the Office later than three months after the may and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply to will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS tute, cause the application to become ABAND	TION. De timely filed from the mailing date of this co ONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status				•			
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 06	December 2006.					
· —		nis action is non-final.					
3)□	-						
,	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Dispositi	on of Claims			·			
4)⊠	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-7,9,11,12,16 and 37</u> is/are pending in the application.						
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>8,10,13-15 and 17-36</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠							
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	l/or election requirement.					
Applicati	on Papers						
9)[]	The specification is objected to by the Exami	ner.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for forei	gn priority under 35 U.S.C. § 11	9(a)-(d) or (f).				
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
	·						
Attachmen	t(s)						
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summ					
	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Ma 5) Notice of Inform					
	r No(s)/Mail Date <u>4/7/05</u> .	6) Other:					

Application/Control Number: 10/520,688 Page 2

Art Unit: 3673

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Claims 8, 10, 13-15 and 17-36 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected species, there being no allowable generic or linking claim at this time. Election was made without traverse in the reply filed on 12/6/06. If a generic claims is allowable then the withdrawn claims will be rejoined.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 2. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 3. Claim 9 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 9, lines 2-3, "first and second axially floating seal faces", unclear how many floating faces applicant is trying to claim in view of claim 1, "an axially floating seal face" in lines 1-2.

Claim 6, 9, line 1, "any of", this should be deleted.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for

patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

5. Claims 1-7, 9, 11-12, 16 and 37 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Dawson et al (US. 6,805,358).

Dawson discloses a mechanical seal having an axially floating seal face (80) in sliding contact with an axially stationary seal face (12), and means (magnets 61) for biasing the floating seal face towards the stationary seal face, the axially floating seal face and the biasing means being rotationally fixed relative to each other and the axially stationary seal face being free to rotate relative to the axially floating seal face (figure 2).

Regarding claims 2-7 and 9: The biasing means is in the form of one or more magnets. The or each magnet is non-rotating. The or each magnet is mounted in a non-rotating housing. The magnet is mounted radially outwards of the seal faces (figure 2). The seal includes magnetically insulating member (member between 12 and 61) located between the magnet and the axially stationary surface. The seal is provided with two or more magnets circumferentially separated by a spacing element (material that spaces each magnets from each other, since there are plurality of magnets 61).

Regarding claims 11-12, 16 and 37: The seal includes an outer housing which contains at least one magnet secured therein (housing holding magnets 61). The magnet is axially flush with a shoulder on the outer housing (the magnet 61 is flushed with shoulder near flange area 44). The seal includes a housing and the housing is provided with a radially extending hole (hole that is capable of receiving shaft 1) connecting the outermost and innermost surfaces of the housing. The seal is capable of being used as a bearing protector (evidence is shown by Adams et al).

Application/Control Number: 10/520,688

Art Unit: 3673

Conclusion

Page 4

6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Lagasse et al, Laney, Parker et al, and Park teach floating seal ring that has two stationary rings contacting the floating seal member and having a means to bias the stationary rings toward the floating seal but fails to teach that the means being magnets. Orlowski, Adams and Geco teach that a means for biasing seal faces can be magnets.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Vishal Patel whose telephone number is 571-272-7060. The examiner can normally be reached on 6:30am to 8:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor,

Patricia L. Engle can be reached on 571-272-6660. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

VP

February 19, 2007

Vishal Patel
Patent Examiner

Tech. Center 3600